Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/17 : CIA-RDP88G00186R000400410023-2

TRANSMIT	TAL SLIP	DATE 13	Sept 85
TO: DDA			
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		
REMARKS:			
	FYI.	16	
Epo-	DA B	W/9	
1000	9	6 SEP	
HOUA			- ,
	1		\wedge
Hold ;	for D.	DH =	'U -
DOA 1	for D. Reg (-	log & f	ie)
	/OIT		
ROOM NO.	BUILDING		EXTENSION
FORM NO. 0.41	REPLACES FORM	36-8	(47)

FORM NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 38-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.

Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/03/17 : CIA-RDP88G00186R000400410023-2

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel Director of Security SUBJECT: FROM: Director of Information Technology 1. Some thirty years ago my wife and I EODed with the Agency after we both were cleared. At the time it seemed to be important that we both were Clearable. A lot of water has gone over the dam since 1954, carrying with it EEO, women's rights, debates over life style issues, etc. This observation by way of background. 2. This week I met a new employee During my conversation with her, I asked how she happened to apply forwhis with CIA. She responded that her husband was an applicant in Florida at when he received the forms, she had gotten a set, too, and sent them in. An where is your husband employed now, I asked. He has taken the polygraph twice, she responded, and doesn't know if he will be cleared, so he is working at CSC. 3. Laying aside what may happen in a marriage when one partner is cleared by CIA and the other is not, I believe the situation described above is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Part would we want the wife working for the Agency? I think not. If the husband is into drugs or a former thief or has some like problem, the wife might be approved to work for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps OI as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have couple being processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together. William F. Donnelly	•	•	SECRET	DD/A
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Personnel Director of Security 1. Some thirty years ago my wife and I EODed with the Agency after we both were cleared. At the time it seemed to be important that we both were clearable. A lot of water has gone over the dam since 1954, carrying with it EEO, women's rights, debates over life style issues, etc. This observation by way of background. 2. This week I met a new employee During my conversation with her, I asked how she happened to apply fwork with CIA. She responded that her husband was an applicant in Florida and when he received the forms, she had gotten a set, too, and sent them in. And where is your husband employed now, I asked. He has taken the polygraph twice, she responded, and doesn't know if he will be cleared, so he is working at CSC. 3. Laying aside what may happen in a marriage when one partner is cleared by CIA and the other is not, I believe the situation described above is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Party would we want the wife working for the Agency? I think not. If the husband is into drugs or a former thief or has some like problem, the wife might be approved to work for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps OI as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have couplibeing processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together.				85-
SUBJECT: FROM: Director of Information Technology 1. Some thirty years ago my wife and I EODed with the Agency after we both were cleared. At the time it seemed to be important that we both were clearable. A lot of water has gone over the dam since 1954, carrying with it EEO, women's rights, debates over life style issues, etc. This observation by way of background. 2. This week I met a new employee During my conversation with her, I asked how she happened to apply for work with CIA. She responded that her husband was an applicant in Florida when he received the forms, she had gotten a set, too, and sent them in. Any where is your husband employed now, I asked. He has taken the polygraph twice, she responded, and doesn't know if he will be cleared, so he is workin at CSC. 3. Laying aside what may happen in a marriage when one partner is cleared by CIA and the other is not, I believe the situation described above is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Party would we want the wife working for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps OI as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have couple being processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together.				
Director of Information Technology 1. Some thirty years ago my wife and I EODed with the Agency after we both were cleared. At the time it seemed to be important that we both were clearable. A lot of water has gone over the dam since 1954, carrying with iteo, women's rights, debates over life style issues, etc. This observation by way of background. 2. This week I met a new employee During my conversation with her, I asked how she happened to apply for work with CIA. She responded that her husband was an applicant in Florida at when he received the forms, she had gotten a set, too, and sent them in. An where is your husband employed now, I asked. He has taken the polygraph twice, she responded, and doesn't know if he will be cleared, so he is working at CSC. 3. Laying aside what may happen in a marriage when one partner is cleared by CIA and the other is not, I believe the situation described above is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Party would we want the wife working for the Agency? I think not. If the husband is into drugs or a former thief or has some like problem, the wife might be approved to work for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps OI as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have couple being processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together.			el DOMA	REGISTRY
1. Some thirty years ago my wife and I EODed with the Agency after we both were cleared. At the time it seemed to be important that we both were clearable. A lot of water has gone over the dam since 1954, carrying with i EEO, women's rights, debates over life style issues, etc. This observation by way of background. 2. This week I met a new employee During my conversation with her, I asked how she happened to apply f work with CIA. She responded that her husband was an applicant in Florida a when he received the forms, she had gotten a set, too, and sent them in. An where is your husband employed now, I asked. He has taken the polygraph twice, she responded, and doesn't know if he will be cleared, so he is worki at CSC. 3. Laying aside what may happen in a marriage when one partner is cleared by CIA and the other is not, I believe the situation described above is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Party would we want the wife working for the Agency? I think not. If the husband is into drugs or a former thief or has some like problem, the wife might be approved to work for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps OI as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have couplibeing processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together. William F. Donnelly	SUBJECT:		, n nee	0.0
both were cleared. At the time it seemed to be important that we both were clearable. A lot of water has gone over the dam since 1954, carrying with i EEO, women's rights, debates over life style issues, etc. This observation by way of background. 2. This week I met a new employee During my conversation with her, I asked how she happened to apply f work with CIA. She responded that her husband was an applicant in Florida a when he received the forms, she had gotten a set, too, and sent them in. An where is your husband employed now, I asked. He has taken the polygraph twice, she responded, and doesn't know if he will be cleared, so he is worki at CSC. 3. Laying aside what may happen in a marriage when one partner is cleared by CIA and the other is not, I believe the situation described above is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Part would we want the wife working for the Agency? I think not. If the husband is into drugs or a former thief or has some like problem, the wife might be approved to work for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps OI as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have coupl being processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together. William F. Donnelly	FROM:	Director of Informa	tion Technology	
when he received the forms, she had gotten a set, too, and sent them in. An where is your husband employed now, I asked. He has taken the polygraph twice, she responded, and doesn't know if he will be cleared, so he is working at CSC. 3. Laying aside what may happen in a marriage when one partner is cleared by CIA and the other is not, I believe the situation described above is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Party would we want the wife working for the Agency? I think not. If the husband is into drugs or a former thief or has some like problem, the wife might be approved to work for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps Of as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have couple being processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together. William F. Donnelly	both were clear clearable. A l EEO, women's ri	ed. At the time it so ot of water has gone o ghts, debates over li	eemed to be important over the dam since 195	that we <u>both</u> were 54, carrying with it
is of general security concern. For example, if it was determined that the husband was working for the Cubans or an active member of the Communist Party would we want the wife working for the Agency? I think not. If the husband is into drugs or a former thief or has some like problem, the wife might be approved to work for the Agency, I'd speculate. But I believe, as an organization, we should have looked at the files of the two individuals side by side when we decided to employ her. One can speculate further, but I submit that the screening and processing systems in OP and OS, and perhaps Of as well, should play off of each other in such a way that when we have couple being processed for employment at the same time, they are tracked together. William F. Donnelly	During	eek I met a new employ my conversation with l	ner, I asked how she h	nappened to apply fo
	During work with CIA. when he receive where is your h twice, she resp at CSC. 3. Laying	eek I met a new employmy conversation with I She responded that he did the forms, she had usband employed now, onded, and doesn't know who	her, I asked how she ler husband was an appigotten a set, too, and I asked. He has taken ow if he will be clear would come aboard as	licant in Florida ard sent them in. And the polygraph red, so he is working a GS-08 if hired.
	During work with CIA. when he receive where is your h twice, she resp at CSC. 3. Laying cleared by CIA is of general s husband was wor would we want t is into drugs o approved to wor organization, w by side when we submit that the as well, should	eek I met a new employ my conversation with i She responded that he d the forms, she had usband employed now, onded, and doesn't kne aside what may happer and the other is not, ecurity concern. For king for the Cubans of he wife working for th r a former thief or he k for the Agency, I'd e should have looked decided to employ he screening and process play off of each othe	her, I asked how she her husband was an apply gotten a set, too, and I asked. He has taken ow if he will be clear would come aboard as in a marriage when or an active member of the Agency? I think not as some like problem, speculate. But I belant the files of the two one can speculate sing systems in OP and are in such a way that	licant in Florida and sent them in. And the polygraph red, so he is working a GS-08 if hired. One partner is ion described above etermined that the the Communist Party ot. If the husband the wife might be lieve, as an wo individuals side further, but I do S, and perhaps ON when we have couple
SECRET	During work with CIA. when he receive where is your h twice, she resp at CSC. 3. Laying cleared by CIA is of general s husband was wor would we want t is into drugs o approved to wor organization, w by side when we submit that the as well, should	eek I met a new employ my conversation with i She responded that he d the forms, she had usband employed now, onded, and doesn't kne aside what may happer and the other is not, ecurity concern. For king for the Cubans of he wife working for th r a former thief or he k for the Agency, I'd e should have looked decided to employ he screening and process play off of each othe	her, I asked how she her husband was an apply gotten a set, too, and I asked. He has taken ow if he will be clear would come aboard as an in a marriage when or I believe the situative member of the Agency? I think not as some like problem, speculate. But I believe the files of the two can speculate sing systems in OP and are in such a way that a same time, they are	dicant in Florida and sent them in. And the polygraph red, so he is working a GS-08 if hired. One partner is ion described above etermined that the the Communist Party ot. If the husband the wife might be lieve, as an wo individuals side further, but I dos, and perhaps Of when we have couple tracked together.
SECRET	During work with CIA. when he receive where is your h twice, she resp at CSC. 3. Laying cleared by CIA is of general s husband was wor would we want t is into drugs o approved to wor organization, w by side when we submit that the as well. should	eek I met a new employ my conversation with i She responded that he d the forms, she had usband employed now, onded, and doesn't kne aside what may happer and the other is not, ecurity concern. For king for the Cubans of he wife working for th r a former thief or he k for the Agency, I'd e should have looked decided to employ he screening and process play off of each othe	her, I asked how she her husband was an apply gotten a set, too, and I asked. He has taken ow if he will be clear would come aboard as an in a marriage when or I believe the situative member of the Agency? I think not as some like problem, speculate. But I believe the files of the two can speculate sing systems in OP and are in such a way that a same time, they are	dicant in Florida and sent them in. And the polygraph red, so he is working a GS-08 if hired. One partner is ion described above etermined that the the Communist Party ot. If the husband the wife might be lieve, as an wo individuals side further, but I dos, and perhaps ON when we have coupled tracked together.
	During work with CIA. when he receive where is your h twice, she resp at CSC. 3. Laying cleared by CIA is of general s husband was wor would we want t is into drugs o approved to wor organization, w by side when we submit that the as well. should	eek I met a new employ my conversation with i She responded that he d the forms, she had usband employed now, onded, and doesn't kne aside what may happer and the other is not, ecurity concern. For king for the Cubans of he wife working for th r a former thief or he k for the Agency, I'd e should have looked decided to employ he screening and process play off of each othe	her, I asked how she her husband was an apply gotten a set, too, and I asked. He has taken ow if he will be clear would come aboard as an in a marriage when or I believe the situative member of the Agency? I think not as some like problem, speculate. But I believe the files of the two can speculate sing systems in OP and are in such a way that a same time, they are	dicant in Florida and sent them in. And the polygraph red, so he is working a GS-08 if hired. One partner is ion described above etermined that the the Communist Party ot. If the husband the wife might be lieve, as an wo individuals side further, but I dos, and perhaps ON when we have couple tracked together.